## SOME DISTRIBUTIONAL PROPERTIES OF THE BROWNIAN MOTION WITH A DRIFT AND AN EXTENSION OF P. LÉVY'S THEOREM

A.S. Cherny\*, A.N. Shiryaev\*\*

\* Moscow State University, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Department of Probability Theory, 119992 Moscow, Russia.

E-mail: cherny@mech.math.msu.su

\*\* Steklov Mathematical Institute, Gubkin Street, 8, 119991 Moscow, Russia.

E-mail: albertsh@mi.ras.ru

**Abstract.** The theorem proved by P. Lévy states that  $(\sup B - B, \sup B) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (|B|, L(B))$ . Here, B is the standard linear Brownian motion and L(B) is its local time in zero. In this paper, we present an extension of P. Lévy's theorem to the case of the Brownian motion with a (random) drift as well as to the case of conditionally Gaussian martingales. Besides, we give a simple proof of the equality  $2\sup B^{\lambda} - B^{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} |B^{\lambda}| + L(B^{\lambda})$  where  $B^{\lambda}$  is the Brownian motion with drift  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Key words and phrases.** P. Lévy's theorem, local time, Brownian motion with a drift, conditionally Gaussian martingales, Skorokhod's lemma.

## 1 An Invariance Property of the Brownian Motion

**1.** Let  $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be the standard linear Brownian motion and  $B^{\lambda} = (B_t^{\lambda})_{t \geq 0}$  be the Brownian motion with a drift  $(B_t^{\lambda} = \lambda t + B_t)$  where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The classical theorem proved by P. Lévy (see [3], [9, ch.VI, §2, (2.3)]) states that

$$(\sup B - B, \sup B) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (|B|, L(B)), \tag{1}$$

i.e., the processes  $(\sup_{s \le t} B_s - B_t, \sup_{s \le t} B_s; t \ge 0)$  and  $(|B_t|, L_t(B); t \ge 0)$  have the same law. Here,  $L(B) = (L_t(B))_{t>0}$  is the local time of B in zero:

$$L_t(B) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t I(|B_s| \le \varepsilon) ds$$
 a.s.

It follows from (1) that

$$2\sup B - B \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} |B| + L(B). \tag{2}$$

This "one-dimensional" property was extended in [10] to the case of the Brownian motion with a drift:

$$2\sup B^{\lambda} - B^{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} |B^{\lambda}| + L(B^{\lambda}). \tag{3}$$

The paper [1] presents an extension of the "two-dimensional" P. Lévy's result. Namely, it is proved in [1] that

$$(\sup B^{\lambda} - B^{\lambda}, \sup B^{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (|X^{\lambda}|, L(X^{\lambda})). \tag{4}$$

Here,  $X^{\lambda} = (X_t^{\lambda})_{t>0}$  is the (strong) solution of the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dX_t^{\lambda} = -\lambda \operatorname{sgn} X_t^{\lambda} dt + dB_t, \quad X_0^{\lambda} = 0$$
 (5)

and  $L(X^{\lambda}) = (L_t(X^{\lambda}))_{t>0}$  is the local time of  $X^{\lambda}$  in zero.

It follows from (4) that

$$2\sup B^{\lambda} - B^{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} |X^{\lambda}| + L(X^{\lambda}). \tag{6}$$

Equalities (3) and (6) taken together yield

**Theorem 1.** For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|B^{\lambda}| + L(B^{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} |X^{\lambda}| + L(X^{\lambda}). \tag{7}$$

It makes sense to give a straightforward proof of this invariance in distribution for |x| + L(x),  $x \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$  when  $x = B^{\lambda}$  is replaced by  $x = X^{\lambda}$  since this property is of some interest by itself. Note that  $(6) + (7) \Rightarrow (3)$  and  $(3) + (7) \Rightarrow (6)$ .

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from P. Lévy's theorem (1) that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathsf{E}\,e^{\lambda B_t} = \mathsf{E}\,e^{\lambda(L_t(B) - |B_t|)}.\tag{8}$$

The following "conditional" version of equality (8) is the key point in the proof of Theorem 1: for any  $t \ge 0$ ,

$$\mathsf{E}\left[e^{\lambda B_t}\middle|\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)}\right] = \mathsf{E}\left[e^{\lambda(L_t(B)-|B_t|)}\middle|\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)}\right] \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{9}$$

Here,  $R(B) = (R_t(B))_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $R_t(B) = |B_t| + L_t(B)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)} = \sigma(R_s(B); s \leq t)$ . Furthermore,

$$\mathsf{E}\left[e^{\lambda B_t}\middle|\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)}\right] = \frac{\sinh \lambda R_t(B)}{\lambda R_t(B)}.\tag{10}$$

In order to prove (9) and (10), we first note that the symmetry considerations lead to the equality

$$\mathsf{E}\left[e^{\lambda B_t} \left| \mathcal{F}_t^{|B|} \right| \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{\lambda |B_t|} + e^{-\lambda |B_t|}\right) \left(= \operatorname{ch} \lambda |B_t|\right)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_t^{|B|} = \sigma(|B_s|; s \leq t)$ . This, combined with the inclusion  $\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t^{|B|}$ , implies that

$$\mathsf{E}\Big[e^{\lambda B_t}\Big|\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)}\Big] = \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{E}\Big[e^{\lambda|B_t|} + e^{-\lambda|B_t|}\Big|\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)}\Big]. \tag{11}$$

It follows from [8] (see also [9, ch.VI, §3, (3.6)]) that the conditional distribution  $\text{Law}(|B_t||\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)})$  is the uniform distribution on  $[0, R_t(B)]$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathsf{E}\Big[e^{\lambda|B_t|}+e^{-\lambda|B_t|}\Big|\mathcal{F}^{R(B)}_t\Big]=\frac{1}{2\lambda R_t(B)}\Big(e^{\lambda R_t(B)}-e^{-\lambda R_t(B)}\Big).$$

This, together with (11), proves (10).

Similarly, we deduce that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda(L_{t}(B)-|B_{t}|)}\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R(B)}\right] = e^{\lambda R_{t}(B)} \,\mathbb{E}\left[e^{-2\lambda|B_{t}|}\Big|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R(B)}\right] = \\
= \frac{1}{2\lambda R_{t}(B)} \left(e^{\lambda R_{t}(B)} - e^{-\lambda R_{t}(B)}\right) = \frac{\sinh \lambda R_{t}(B)}{\lambda R_{t}(B)}. \quad (12)$$

Combining (10) and (12), we obtain the desired equality (9).

We now turn to the proof of the invariance property (7). Let  $P_B$ ,  $P_{B^{\lambda}}$  and  $P_{X^{\lambda}}$  be the distributions of the processes B,  $B^{\lambda}$  and  $X^{\lambda}$  on the canonical path space  $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ . We will use  $P_B|\mathcal{F}_t$ ,  $P_{B^{\lambda}}|\mathcal{F}_t$  and  $P_{X^{\lambda}}|\mathcal{F}_t$  to denote the restrictions of these measures to the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(x_s; s \leq t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  where  $(x_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is the canonical process on  $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ .

It is well known (see, for example, [4, ch.7, §2]) that

$$\frac{d(P_{B^{\lambda}}|\mathcal{F}_t)}{d(P_B|\mathcal{F}_t)}(B) = e^{\lambda B_t - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}t}.$$
(13)

Taking into account Tanaka's formula,

$$|B_t| = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} B_s \, dB_s + L_t(B)$$

(see  $[9, \text{ch.VI}, \S 1]$ ), we obtain

$$\frac{d(P_{X^{\lambda}}|\mathcal{F}_{t})}{d(P_{B}|\mathcal{F}_{t})}(B) = e^{-\lambda \int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{sgn} B_{s} dB_{s} - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}t} = e^{\lambda (L_{t}(B) - |B_{t}|) - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}t}.$$
(14)

We will use  $P_{R(B)}$ ,  $P_{R(B^{\lambda})}$  and  $P_{R(X^{\lambda})}$  to denote the images of  $P_B$ ,  $P_{B^{\lambda}}$  and  $P_{X^{\lambda}}$  under the map

$$C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}) \ni x \longmapsto R(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$$

where  $R_t(x) = |x_t| + L_t(x)$ . Set  $\mathcal{F}_t^R = \sigma(R_s(x); s \leq t)$ . It can easily be checked that  $(P_B - \text{a.s.})$ 

$$\frac{d(P_{R(B^{\lambda})}|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R})}{d(P_{R(B)}|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R})}(R(B)) = \mathsf{E}\left[\frac{d(P_{B^{\lambda}}|\mathcal{F}_{t})}{d(P_{B}|\mathcal{F}_{t})}(B)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R(B)}\right]$$
(15)

and

$$\frac{d(P_{R(X^{\lambda})}|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R})}{d(P_{R(B)}|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R})}(R(B)) = \mathsf{E}\left[\frac{d(P_{X^{\lambda}}|\mathcal{F}_{t})}{d(P_{B}|\mathcal{F}_{t})}(B)\middle|\mathcal{F}_{t}^{R(B)}\right]. \tag{16}$$

Combining (13), (14) and (9), we see that the right-hand sides in (15) and (16) coincide  $(P_B - a.s.)$  and

$$\frac{d(P_{R(B^{\lambda})}|\mathcal{F}_t^R)}{d(P_{R(B)}|\mathcal{F}_t^R)}(R(B)) = \frac{d(P_{R(X^{\lambda})}|\mathcal{F}_t^R)}{d(P_{R(B)}|\mathcal{F}_t^R)}(R(B)) = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t} \frac{\sinh \lambda R_t(B)}{\lambda R_t(B)}.$$
 (17)

Therefore,  $P_{R(B^{\lambda})} = P_{R(X^{\lambda})}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

- **2.** Remarks. 1) It follows from (17) that  $R(B^{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} R(B^{-\lambda})$  and  $R(X^{\lambda}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} R(X^{-\lambda})$ .
- 2) The results of [8] (see also [9, ch.VI, §3, (3.5)]) imply that the process  $2 \sup B B$  has the same law as the *three-dimensional Bessel process* Bes(3) started at zero. The infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{A}_{\nu}$  of the  $\nu$ -dimensional Bessel process Bes( $\nu$ ) is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\nu} = \frac{\nu - 1}{2r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2}.$$

Thus, for  $\nu = 3$ , we have

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2}.$$

This implies that the process Bes(3) is a (strong) solution of the SDE

$$dr_t = \frac{1}{r_t}dt + d\beta_t, \quad r_0 = 0$$

where  $\beta = (\beta_t)_{t>0}$  is the Brownian motion.

It follows from (2) that the process R(B) = |B| + L(B) is also a version of the Bessel process Bes(3).

3) It was proved in [10] that the process  $2 \sup B^{\lambda} - B^{\lambda}$  is a diffusion process with the following infinetisemal generator:

$$\mathcal{A}_3^{\lambda} = \lambda \coth \lambda r \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dr^2}.$$

Equalities (3) and (6) imply that each of the processes  $|B^{\lambda}| + L(B^{\lambda})$  and  $|X^{\lambda}| + L(X^{\lambda})$  is a diffusion process with infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{A}_3^{\lambda}$ .

4) Due to equalities (15) and (17), the process

$$M_t = e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}t} \frac{\sinh \lambda R_t(B)}{\lambda R_t(B)}$$

is a  $(\mathcal{F}_t^{R(B)}, P_{R(B)})$ -martingale. Set  $\tau_a = \inf\{t \geq 0 : R_t(B) = a\}$ , a > 0. It is clear that  $P(\tau_a < \infty) = 1$  and the family of random variables  $\{M_{t \wedge \tau_a}, t \geq 0\}$  is uniformly integrable. Applying the *optional stopping theorem*, we get  $\mathsf{E}M_{\tau_a} = 1$ . This is equivalent to the well-known property

$$\mathsf{E}\,e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\tau_a} = \frac{\lambda a}{\mathrm{sh}\,\lambda a}.$$

By comparison, for  $\sigma_a = \inf\{t : |B_t| \ge a\}$ , one has

$$\mathsf{E}\,e^{-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\sigma_a} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{ch}\lambda a}.$$

It is obvious that  $\tau_a \leq \sigma_a$ .

## 2 Some Extensions of P. Lévy's Theorem

1. The proof of property (4) was given in [1]. This proof is based on the application of Girsanov's theorem to the processes  $B^{\lambda}$  and  $X^{\lambda}$ , Tanaka's formula to |B| and P. Lévy's theorem (1) to the process B. However, it turns out that property (4) can be deduced directly from Skorokhod's lemma which is formulated below. This lemma is usually used to prove P. Lévy's theorem (see, for example, [9, ch.VI, §2, (2.1)]). Moreover, Skorokhod's lemma makes it possible to give new extensions of property (1) (see Theorems 3 and 4 below).

**Lemma (Skorokhod).** Let  $y = (y_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be a continuous function such that  $y_0 \geq 0$ . There exists a unique pair of functions  $(x, l) = (x_t, l_t)_{t\geq 0}$  such that

- (a) x = y + l,
- (b)  $x \ge 0$ ,
- (c)  $l = (l_t)_{t \ge 0}$  is increasing, continuous, vanishing at zero, and the corresponding measure  $dl_t$  is carried by  $\{s > 0 : x_s = 0\}$ .

The function l is moreover given by

$$l_t = \sup_{s < t} (-y_s \vee 0).$$

For the proof of this lemma, see [11], [9, ch.VI, §2, (2.1)].

**2.** We now turn to the extension of P. Lévy's theorem to the Brownian motion with a random drift.

First, let us consider the SDEs

$$dB_t^{(a)} = a(t, B^{(a)}) dt + dB_t, \quad B_0^{(a)} = 0$$
(18)

and

$$dX_t^{(a)} = -a(t, Y^{(a)}) \operatorname{sgn} X_t^{(a)} dt + dB_t, \quad X_0^{(a)} = 0.$$
(19)

Here, a = a(t, x) is a bounded process on  $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$  that is predictable with respect to the canonical filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(x_s, s \leq t)$ , and

$$Y_t^{(a)} = -\int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{(a)} dX_s^{(a)}.$$
 (20)

For a constant drift  $a(t,x) \equiv \lambda$ , the solution of (18) is the Brownian motion with drift  $\lambda$  while SDE (19) transforms to (5).

**Lemma 2.** There is weak existence and uniqueness in law for SDE (18) as well as for system (19)-(20).

Proof. First, we prove the existence of a weak solution for system (19)-(20). Consider the canonical path space  $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2)$  with the canonical process  $(x, y) = (x_t, y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(x_s, y_s; s \leq t)$ . Let P be a probability measure on  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty} = \sigma(x_s, y_s; s \geq 0)$  such that  $(x_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is the Brownian motion with respect to P and

$$y_t = -(P) \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} x_s \, dx_s$$

where the symbol (P) denotes that the stochastic integral is constructed with respect to P. Let us define the measures  $P'_t$  on the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_t$   $(t \ge 0)$  by

$$\frac{dP_t'}{dP_t}(x,y) = M_t = \exp\left\{-(P)\int_0^t a(s,y) \, dx_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t a^2(s,y) \, ds\right\}$$
 (21)

where  $P_t = P|\mathcal{F}_t$ . In view of the boundedness of a(s,y), Novikov's criterion (see [6], [4, ch.6, §2]) implies that  $M_t$  is a  $(\mathcal{F}_t, P)$ -martingale. Thus,  $P'_t|\mathcal{F}_s = P'_s$  for  $s \leq t$ . Therefore, there exists a probability measure P' on  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  such that  $P'|\mathcal{F}_t = P'_t$  for any  $t \geq 0$  (see [12, p. 34]).

As the stochastic integral remains unchanged with the (locally) equivalent change of measure (see [5, Lemme III.2.]), we have

$$y_t = -(P) \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} x_s \, dx_s = -(P') \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} x_s \, dx_s.$$

This equality, combined with Girsanov's theorem (see [2, ch.III, §3b, (3.11)]), proves that the process  $(x_t, y_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is a solution of system (19)-(20) on the filtered probability space  $(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2), \mathcal{F}_t, P')$ .

We now prove the uniqueness in law for system (19)-(20). Let Q' be a probability measure on  $(C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^2), \mathcal{F}_{\infty})$  corresponding to an arbitrary weak solution of this system. Define the measures  $Q_t$  on the  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_t$   $(t \geq 0)$  by

$$\frac{dQ_t}{dQ_t'}(x,y) = \exp\left\{ (Q') \int_0^t a(s,y) \, dx_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t a^2(s,y) \, ds \right\}. \tag{22}$$

Arguing as above, we see that there exists a probability measure Q on  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$  such that  $Q|\mathcal{F}_t = Q_t$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . By Girsanov's theorem, the process  $(x_t)_{t\geq 0}$  is  $(\mathcal{F}_t, P)$ -Brownian motion. Furthermore,

$$y_t = -(Q') \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} x_s \, dx_s = -(Q) \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} x_s \, dx_s.$$

Thus, Q = P. With the equality

$$(Q')\int_0^t a(s,y) dx_s = (Q)\int_0^t a(s,y) dx_s = (P)\int_0^t a(s,y) dx_s,$$

formulas (21) and (22) imply that

$$\frac{dP_t'}{dP_t} = \left(\frac{dQ_t}{dQ_t'}\right)^{-1} = \frac{dQ_t'}{dQ_t}, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Hence, Q' = P'. This proves the uniqueness in law for system (19)-(20).

The weak existence and the uniqueness in law for SDE (18) are proved in the same way. **Theorem 3.** Let  $B^{(a)}$  and  $X^{(a)}$  be solutions of SDEs (18)-(20). Then,

$$(\sup B^{(a)} - B^{(a)}, \sup B^{(a)}) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (|X^{(a)}|, L(X^{(a)})),$$
 (23)

i.e., the processes  $(\sup_{s \le t} B_s^{(a)} - B_t^{(a)}, \sup_{s \le t} B_s^{(a)}; t \ge 0)$  and  $(|X^{(a)}|, L(X^{(a)}); t \ge 0)$  have the same law.

Proof. By Tanaka's formula,

$$|X_t^{(a)}| = \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{(a)} dX_s^{(a)} + L_t(X^{(a)}) = -Y_t^{(a)} + L_t(X^{(a)}).$$

Applying Skorokhod's lemma, we derive that  $L_t(X^{(a)}) = \sup_{s < t} Y_s^{(a)}$ . Thus,

$$|X_t^{(a)}| = \sup_{s \le t} Y_s^{(a)} - Y_t^{(a)}$$

and obviously,

$$\left(\sup_{s \le t} Y_s^{(a)} - Y_t^{(a)}, \sup_{s \le t} Y_t^{(a)}; \ t \ge 0\right) = \left(\left|X_t^{(a)}\right|, \ L_t\left(X^{(a)}\right); \ t \ge 0\right). \tag{24}$$

Further,

$$Y_t^{(a)} = -\int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{(a)} dX_s^{(a)} = \int_0^t a(s, Y^{(a)}) ds - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{(a)} dB_s =$$

$$= \int_0^t a(s, Y^{(a)}) ds + \widetilde{B}_t. \quad (25)$$

It follows from P. Lévy's theorem (see [9, ch.IV, §3, (3.6)]) that the process  $\widetilde{B}_t = -\int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{(a)} dB_s$  is the standard linear Brownian motion. It is obvious that  $\widetilde{B}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}^{Y^{(a)}} = (\mathcal{F}_t^{Y^{(a)}})_{t \geq 0}$ . Thus,  $Y^{(a)}$  is a solution of SDE (18). The uniqueness in law for this SDE implies that  $Y^{(a)} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} B^{(a)}$ . Now, the desired result follows from (24).

**3.** In all the cases above, the "basic" process is expressed by the Brownian motion  $B = (B_t)_{t>0}$ . In what follows, the "generating" process will be a *conditionally Gaussian* 

(with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}^{\langle M \rangle} = (\mathcal{F}_t^{\langle M \rangle})_{t \geq 0}$ ) martingale  $M = B_{\langle M \rangle} = (B_{\langle M \rangle_t})_{t \geq 0}$  for which B and the quadratic variation  $\langle M \rangle$  are independent processes (see [2, ch.II, (6.2)], [7]). In [13] such martingales are called *Ocone martingales*.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $M = B_{\langle M \rangle}$  be a conditionally Gaussian martingale with independent processes B and  $\langle M \rangle$ . Set

$$M_t^{\lambda} = \lambda \langle M \rangle_t + M_t.$$

Let  $X^{\lambda}$  denote a solution of the SDE

$$dX_t^{\lambda} = -\lambda \operatorname{sgn} X_t^{\lambda} d\langle M \rangle_t + dM_t, \quad X_0^{\lambda} = 0.$$
 (26)

Then,

$$\left(\sup M^{\lambda} - M^{\lambda}, \sup M^{\lambda}\right) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \left(\left|X^{(a)}\right|, L\left(X^{(a)}\right)\right). \tag{27}$$

P r o o f. We first prove that SDE (26) has a solution. Let  $B = (B_t)_{t\geq 0}$  be the initial Brownian motion and  $Z^{\lambda} = (Z_t^{\lambda})_{t\geq 0}$  be the solution of the SDE

$$dZ_t^{\lambda} = -\lambda \operatorname{sgn} Z_t^{\lambda} dt + dB_t, \quad Z_0^{\lambda} = 0.$$

This equation (compare with (5)) has the unique strong solution (see [14]). Due to the properties of the time-change  $(t \mapsto \langle M \rangle_t)$ , we have

$$Z_{\langle M \rangle_t}^{\lambda} = -\lambda \int_0^{\langle M \rangle_t} \operatorname{sgn} Z_s^{\lambda} ds + B_{\langle M \rangle_t} = -\lambda \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} Z_{\langle M \rangle_s}^{\lambda} d\langle M \rangle_s + M_t.$$

Thus, the process  $X_t^{\lambda} = Z_{\langle M \rangle_t}^{\lambda}$  is a solution of (26).

Set  $Y_t^{\lambda} = -\int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{\lambda} dX_s^{\lambda}$  (compare with (20)). Then,

$$\left(\sup_{s \le t} Y_s^{\lambda} - Y_t^{\lambda}, \sup_{s \le t} Y_s^{\lambda}; \ t \ge 0\right) = \left(\left|X_t^{\lambda}\right|, L_t(X^{\lambda}); \ t \ge 0\right) \tag{28}$$

which can be proved by the same argument as (24). Besides, thanks to (26), we have

$$Y_t^{\lambda} = -\int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{\lambda} dX_s^{\lambda} = \lambda \langle M \rangle_t - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn} X_s^{\lambda} dM_s.$$

The following statement is true for martingales  $M = B_{\langle M \rangle}$  with independent B and  $\langle M \rangle$  (see [7, Theorem A, Lemma (2.5)]). If  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a predictable process taking values  $\pm 1$ , then,

$$\left(\langle M \rangle_t, \int_0^t \varepsilon_s dM_s; t \geq 0\right) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \left(\langle M \rangle_t, M_t; t \geq 0\right).$$

Consequently,  $Y^{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} M^{\lambda}$ . This, together with (28), completes the proof of (27).

**Corollary 5.** If  $M = B_{\langle M \rangle}$  is a conditionally Gaussian martingale with independent B and  $\langle M \rangle$ , then

$$(\sup M - M, \sup M) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (|M|, L(M)).$$

## References

- [1] Graversen S.E., Shiryaev A.N. An extension of P.Lévy's distributional properties to the case of a Brownian motion with drift. Research Report № 22 (September 1998), Centre for Mathematical Physics and Stochastics, Aarhus University (to be published in Bernoulli).
- [2] Jacod J., Shiryaev A.N. Limit theorems for stochastic processes. Springer-Verlag, 1987, 601 p.
- [3] Lévy P. Processus stochastiques et mouvement brownien. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1948.
- [4] Liptser R.S., Shiryaev A.N. Statistics of random processes I and II. Springer-Verlag, 1977 and 1978.
- [5] Memin J. Espaces de semi Martingales et changement de probabilité. Prob. Theory and Rel. Fields, 1980, **52**, p. 9–39.
- [6] Novikov A.A. On an identity for stochastic integrals. Prob. Theor. Appl., 1972, v. 17, № 4, p. 761–765.
- [7] Ocone D.L. A symmetry characterization of conditionally independent increment martingales. Barselona Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, 1993, Progr. Probab., 32, Birkhäuser, Basel, p. 147–167.
- [8] Pitman J.W. One-dimensional Brownian motion and the three-dimensional Bessel process. Adv. Appl. Probab., 1975, 7, p. 511–526.
- [9] Revuz D., Yor M. Continuous martingales and Brownian motion. Springer-Verlag, 1994, 560 p.
- [10] Rogers L.C.G., Pitman J.W. Markov functions. The Annals of Probability, 1981, v. 9,  $\mathbb{N}_2$  4, p. 573–582.
- [11] Skorokhod A. V. Studies in the theory of random processes. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.
- [12] Stroock D. W., Varadhan S.R.S. Multidimensional diffusion processes. Springer-Verlag, 1979, 339 p.
- [13] Yor M. Some invariance properties (of the laws) of Ocone's martingales. Prépublication № 471 du Laboratoire de Probabilités de l'Université Paris VI. November, 1998.
- [14] Zvonkin A.K. A transformation of the phase space of a process that removes the drift.
   Math. USSR Sbornik, 1974, v. 93, № 1, p. 129-149.